

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

BARBARA TEMPLE,

Defendant and Appellant.

E032137

(Super.Ct.No. HEF004674)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of Riverside County. Michael S. Hider, Judge.
(Retired judge of the Merced Super. Ct., assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to art. VI,
§ 6 of the Cal. Const.) Affirmed.

Elizabeth Corpora, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On June 3, 2002, pursuant to Penal Code section 1192.7, Barbara Temple
(defendant), represented by counsel, pled nolo contendere to three counts of residential
burglary (Pen. Code, § 459), as charged in counts 1, 2 and 3 of the information filed on
November 29, 2001, by the Riverside County District Attorney. Defendant also admitted
one of the special allegations filed pursuant to Penal Code sections 667, subs. (a), (c),

(e) and 1170.12, subd. (c).

In accordance with the negotiated disposition, defendant was committed to state prison for 17 years, less custody credits, and the remaining counts (4, 5, 6, 7 and 8) and special allegations were dismissed and stricken on motion of the district attorney in the interests of justice pursuant to Penal Code section 1385.

Defendant appealed and upon her request, this court appointed counsel to represent her. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which she has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS

RAMIREZ
P. J.

We concur:

McKINSTER
J.

GAUT
J.